



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

S.R.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/384,931 08/26/99 ROBINSON M. D.

L ROB-301

EXAMINER

QM12/1218

KOLISCH HARTWELL DICKINSON MCCORMACK
& HEUSER
200 PACIFIC BUILDING
520 S W YAMHILL STREET
PORTLAND OR 97204

WONG, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED:

#5

12/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/384,931

Applicant(s)
Robinson

Examiner
Steven Wong

Group Art Unit
3711



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Steven Wong

(3) _____

(2) David D'Ascenzo

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 12, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-31

Identification of prior art discussed:

zoretic et al. (6,027,417)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant proposed several suggestions to the claims to overcome the Zoretic reference. The applicant will formally file an amendment to claim 1 to further define the putting lines. Also, the applicant will submit arguments stating that claims 11 and 12 are not anticipated by the Zoretic reference. The examiner stated the possibility that a straight line on the green might still anticipate claims 11 and 12. The applicant will also submit remarks arguing that the step of determining does not involve a mental step by the user of the device.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

STEVEN WONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3711